

# 2007 Monitoring Summary



## Basin Assessment Program

### Childers Creek at Alabama Highway 219 (Dallas County) 32.44200/-87.08343

#### BACKGROUND

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) selected the Childers Creek watershed for biological and water quality monitoring as part of the 2007 Assessment of the Cahaba and Black Warrior Basins. The objectives of the BWC Basin Assessments were to assess the biological integrity of each monitoring site and to estimate overall water quality within the EMT basin group. Childers Creek stretches 18.79 miles from its source to the Cahaba River and has been on Alabama's Clean Water Act (CWA) §303(d) list of impaired waters since 2006. It was listed for siltation (habitat alteration), from pasture grazing. Water quality data were collected in 2007 in March and April. Drought conditions prevented collection of additional samples.



Figure 1. Childers Creek at CHLD-2 in August of 2007

#### WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Childers Creek at CHLD-2 is a *Fish and Wildlife (F&W)* stream located right outside of Selma in Dallas County. Based on the 2000 National Landcover Dataset landuse within the watershed is mostly forest (39%) and pasture. As of February 23, 2011, ADEM has issued one NPDES permit for this watershed.

#### REACH CHARACTERSTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Childers Creek at CHLD-2, pictured above in Figure 1, is a low gradient, glide-pool stream reach, with a primarily sand bottom. Overall habitat quality was categorized as *marginal*.

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics.  Watershed Characteristics					
Basin		Cahaba River			
Drainage Area (mi²)		6			
Ecoregion <sup>a</sup>		65a			
% Landuse					
Open water		1			
Wetland	Woody	7			
	Emergent herbaceous	<1			
Forest	Deciduous	16			
	Evergreen	11			
	Mixed	12			
Shrub/scrub		13			
Pasture/hay		27			
Cultivated crops		8			
Development	Open space	5			
	Low intensity	<1			
	Moderate intensity	<1			
Population/km <sup>2b</sup>		26			
# NPDES Permits <sup>c</sup>	TOTAL	1			
Construction Stormy	vater	1			

- a.Blackland Prairie
- b.2000 US Census
- c.#NPDES permits downloaded from ADEM's NPDES Management System database, February 23,2011

**Table 2.** Physical characteristics of Childers Creek at CHLD-2, May 03, 2007.

Physical Characteristics			
Canony Cover		Mostly Shaded	
Width (ft)		3.5	
Depth (ft)			
	Run	0.4	
	Pool	1.0	
% of Reach			
	Run	80	
	Pool	20	
% Substrate			
	Gravel	2	
	Sand	84	
	Silt	5	
(	Organic Matter	9	

#### BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is the average of all individual metric scores. The final score indicated the biological community to be in *poor* condition (Table 4).

**Table 3.** Results of the habitat assessment conducted on Childers Creek at CHLD-2, 5/3/2007.

Habitat Assessment	%Maxim	um Score Rating
Instream Habitat Qua	lity 30	Poor (<40)
Sediment Deposit	ion 46	Marginal (40-52)
Sinuo	sity 40	Poor (<45)
Bank and Vegetative Stabi	lity 51	Marginal (35-59)
Riparian Bu	ffer 63	Margi nal (50-69)
Habitat Assessment Score	98	
% Maximum Score	44	Marginal (40-52)

**Table 4.** Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment of Childers Creek on May 3, 2007..

Macroin verte brate Assessment				
	Results	Scores	Rating	
Taxa richness measures				
# EPT genera	2	8	$Very Poor (\leq 18)$	
Taxonomic composition measures				
% Non-insect taxa	31	0.0	Very Poor (<=30.8)	
% Plecoptera	5	24.9	Good (5.7-52.8)	
% Dominant taxa	25	61.7	Fair (47.1-70.5)	
Functional composition measures				
% Predators	13	45.1	Fair (30.2-45.2)	
Tolerance measures				
Beck's community tolerance index	0	0.0	Very Poor (<=10.5)	
% Nutrient tolerant organisms	23	78.7	Good (76.3-88.1)	
WMB-I Assessment Score		31	Poor (19-37)	

#### WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. <u>In situ measurements</u> and water samples were supposed to be collected monthly during March through October. However, due to a severe drought, the creek was only sampled in March and April. Field parameters were collected during the macroinvertebrate assessment. Flow during this station visit was 0.15 cfs. Median concentration of Ammonia Nitrogen were higher than expected for the Blackland Prairie ecoregion. Also, median specific conductance was higher than expected.

#### **SUMMARY**

As part of the assessment process, ADEM will review the monitoring information presented in this report, along with all other available data. Due to severe drought, the stream flowed March-May, but was dry, June-October. Low flow conditions may have affected results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment. Additional monitoring may be required to fully assess biological conditions at the site.

#### FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:

Aaron Goar, ADEM Aquatic Assessment Unit 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 260-2755 agoar@adem.state.al.us

**Table 5.** Summary of water quality data collected March-April, 2007. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL) when results were less than this value. Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

Parameter	N		Min	Max	Med	Avg	SD
Physical							
Temperature (° C)	3		16.4	21.5	17.0	18.3	2.8
Turbidity (NTU)	7		0.0	46.8	0.0	12.4	18.6
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	2		23.0	121.0	72.0	72.0	69.3
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2		16.0	21.0	18.5	18.5	3.5
Specific Conductance (µmhos)	3		64.0	159.8	143.0	<sup>G</sup> 122.3	51.2
Alkalinity (mg/L)	2		17.9	67.7	42.8	42.8	35.2
Stream Flow (cfs)	3		0.2	1.7	0.2	0.7	0.9
Chemical							
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	3		5.9	6.4	6.0	6.1	0.3
pH (su)	3		6.3	7.0	6.8	6.7	0.4
Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	2	<	0.015	0.139	0.073	<sup>M</sup> 0.073	0.093
Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)	2	<	0.003	0.023	0.012	0.012	0.015
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	2		0.369	1.031	0.700	0.700	0.468
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	2	<	0.370	1.054	0.712	0.712	0.483
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	2		0.018	0.045	0.032	0.032	0.019
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	2		0.083	0.125	0.104	0.104	0.030
CBOD-5 (mg/L)	2	<	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.4
J Chlorides (mg/L)	2		3.9	4.4	4.1	4.1	0.4
Biological							
<sup>J</sup> Chlorophy∥ a (ug/L)	2		2.67	5.34	4.00	4.00	1.89
Fecal Coliform (col/100 mL)	2		45	51	48	48	4

J=estimate; N=# of samples; M = value higher than median concentration of all verified ecoregional reference data in the ecoregion 65a; G = value higher than median concentration of all verified ecoregional reference data in ecoregion 65a